

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,412	10/31/2003	Josephus C. Ebergen	SUN-P9550-SPL	2088
57960 7590 03/20/2009 PVF SUN MICROSYSTEMS INC. C/O PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP			EXAMINER	
			COONEY, ADAM A	
2820 FIFTH STREET DAVIS, CA 95618-7759		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2444	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/699 412 EBERGEN ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ADAM COONEY 2444 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/2003

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/699,412 Page 2

Art Unit: 2444

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Paragraph 0060 states that "FIG.7 illustrates cell(i,j)" therefore FIG. 7 should show the label "cell(i,j)".

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

- Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- Claim 3 has a typographical error, the term "aranged" in line 1 should be –arranged--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Interpretation

 Regarding claims 1-10, the phrase "plurality of cells" is interpreted by the examiner to be implemented as hardware only. As shown in Figure 4B, a cell comprises AND, OR and XOR

gates. The examiner notes that logic gates are primarily implemented electronically using diodes or transistors; therefore the cell is implemented as hardware in order to include the logical gates. Further, in paragraph 0053 of the specification, it states before propagation through the cell the token arrives at the cell by means of a voltage transition. Thus, the examiner does not see any intent by the applicant to suggest software implementation of the cell in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Orsic (U.S. 4,817,082).

5. Regarding independent claim 1, Orsic teaches a system for regulating communications between a plurality of transmitters (input controllers) and a receiver (output controllers) (see column 3 lines 48-53 and Figure 1; input controller transmits a packet to output controller, therefore input controller is the transmitter and output controller is the receiver), comprising: a plurality of cells (see Figure 1; crosspoint elements), wherein each cell controls communications from a transmitter in the plurality of transmitters to the receiver; wherein the plurality of cells are arranged in a token ring (control ring) that regulates communications from the plurality of transmitters to the receiver; and wherein the presence of a token within a token ring cell indicates that the corresponding transmitter may communicate with the receiver (see column 2 lines 9-35,

column 4 lines 16-20 and Figure 1; an array of crosspoint elements each associated with one of the input means and one of the output means, each crosspoint element is associated with its own control ring, the control mechanism is efficient in enabling packet transmission, further each crosspoint element is responsive to a token for switching information from its associated input means to its associated output means).

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 1, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches a plurality of receivers (see Figure 1); and a plurality of token rings (see column 2 lines 32-33 and Figure 1), wherein each token ring passes a corresponding token among token ring cells that control communications from the plurality of transmitters to a receiver corresponding to the token ring (see column 2 lines 13-29, column 3 lines 34-37 and column 4 lines 16-20).
- 7. Regarding claim 3, Orsic teaches all the limitations of claim 2, as discussed above.
 Further, Orsic teaches wherein the plurality of cells are arranged in a grid (array) wherein a row corresponds to a transmitter and a column corresponds to a receiver (see column 2 lines 25-29 and Figure 1).
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 1, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches wherein the communications can include one of: an electrical signal; a mechanical signal; and an optical signal (see column 3 lines 52-58 and Figure 1, it is inherent that when using bus lines, i.e. R and G lines, that an electrical signal is used).
- 9. Regarding clam 5, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 1, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches wherein each cell is configured to receive a request signal from a corresponding transmitter, and in response to the request signal, is configured to issue an

acknowledgement signal (grant signal) to the corresponding transmitter which allows the corresponding transmitter to begin transmitting if the cell has the token (see column 3 lines 48-68 through column 4 lines 1-6 and 16-20, also column 5 lines 12-26).

- 10. Regarding claim 6, Orsic teaches all the limitations of claim 5, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches wherein each transmitter further comprises a reset mechanism that is configured to release the clearance to communicate with the receiver by resetting the request signal (see column 4 lines 6-8 and column 5 lines 27-30; once transmission of the packet is complete the input controller "resets" by applying a low signal to the R line of the bus).
- 11. Regarding claim 7, Orsic teaches all the limitations of claim 6, as discussed above.
 Further, Orsic teaches wherein the system further comprises an acknowledgement mechanism configured to confirm the release of the clearance by resetting the acknowledgement signal (see column 4 lines 9-13 and column 5 lines 30-32; the system "confirms the release" by the crosspoint element removing the grant signal from the G line of the bus).
- 12. Regarding claim 8, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 1, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches an initialization mechanism configured to initialize the single token in the token ring (see column 3 lines 34-35 and column 4 lines 67-68 through column 5 lines 1-4; generates a new "token", E-bit, therefore initializing the E-bit).
- 13. Regarding claim 9, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 1, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches wherein the system operates asynchronously (see column 5 lines 12-34; input controller requests, waits for and receives grant signal, then transmits packet, therefore asynchronous because it is not simultaneous).

- 14. Regarding claim 10, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 1, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches wherein the system additionally comprises a flow control mechanism configured to selectively limit the communications from the transmitter to the receiver at the request of the receiver (see column 6 lines 28-36; "receiver"/output controller provides flow control and applies a busy signal to stop the flow of packets).
- 15. Regarding independent claim 11, Orsic teaches a method for regulating communications between a plurality of transmitters (input means/input controllers) and a receiver (output means/output controllers) (see column 3 lines 48-53 and Figure 1; input controller transmits a packet to output controller, therefore input controller is the transmitter and output controller is the receiver), comprising: receiving a request signal from a transmitter at a cell (crosspoint element) in a plurality of cells requesting to communicate with the receiver (see column 3 lines 52-62, column 5 lines 16-22 and column 6 lines 3-7); wherein the plurality of cells are arranged in a token ring (control ring) that regulates communications from the plurality of transmitters to the receiver (see column 2 lines 11-24 and Figure 1); and in response to the request signal, issuing an acknowledgement signal (grant signal) to the transmitter which allows the transmitter to begin transmitting if the presence of a token is detected within the cell (see column 3 lines 48-68 through column 4 lines 1-6 and 16-20, also column 5 lines 12-26).
- 16. Regarding claim 12, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 11, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches wherein the plurality of cells include a plurality of token rings (see column 2 lines 32-33 and Figure 1), wherein each token ring passes a corresponding token among token ring cells that control communications from the plurality of

transmitters to a receiver corresponding to the token ring (see column 2 lines 13-29, column 3 lines 34-37 and column 4 lines 16-20).

- 17. Regarding claim 13, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 11, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches wherein a plurality of cells that regulate communications between the transmitters and receivers are arranged in a grid (array) wherein a row corresponds to a transmitter and a column corresponds to a receiver (see column 2 lines 25-29 and Figure 1).
- 18. Regarding claim 14, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 11, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches wherein the communications can include one of: an electrical signal; a mechanical signal; and an optical signal (see column 3 lines 52-58 and Figure 1, it is inherent that using bus lines, i.e. R and G lines, that an electrical signal is used).
- 19. Regarding claim 15, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 11, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches revoking the permission for the transmitter to communicate with the receiver when the transmitter resets the request signal (see column 4 lines 6-8 and column 5 lines 27-32).
- 20. Regarding claim 16, Orsic teaches all the limitations of claim 15, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches resetting the acknowledgement signal to confirm the revocation of the permission for the transmitter to communicate with the receiver (see column 4 lines 9-13 and column 5 lines 30-32).
- 21. Regarding claim 17, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 11, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches initializing the token in the token ring (see column 3 lines 34-35 and column 4 lines 67-68 through column 5 lines 1-4).

Page 8

Art Unit: 2444

22. Regarding claim 18, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 11, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches wherein the system operates asynchronously (see column 5 lines 12-34; input controller requests, waits for and receives grant signal, then transmits packet, therefore asynchronous because it is not simultaneous).

- 23. Regarding claim 19, Orsic teaches all the limitations of independent claim 11, as discussed above. Further, Orsic teaches controlling the flow of communications by selectively limiting the communications from the transmitter to the receiver at the request of the receiver (see column 6 lines 28-36; "receiver"/output controller provides flow control and applies a busy signal to stop the flow of packets).
- 24. Regarding independent claim 20, Orsic teaches a multi-processor system, comprising: a plurality of processors (see column 3 lines 1-9 and Figure 1; input devices and output devices include terminal equipment, therefore the terminals are the processors); a plurality of transmitters (input controllers) associated with the processors (see column 3 lines 48-53 and Figure 1; input controller transmits a packet to output controller, therefore input controller is the transmitter and output controller is the receiver); a plurality of cells(see Figure 1; crosspoint elements), wherein each cell controls communications from a transmitter in the plurality of transmitters to a receiver, wherein the plurality of cells are arranged in a token ring (control ring) that regulates communications from the plurality of transmitters to a receiver; and wherein the presence of a token within a token ring cell indicates that the corresponding transmitter may communicate with the receiver (see column 2 lines 9-35, column 4 lines 16-20 and Figure 1; an array of crosspoint elements each associated with one of the input means and one of the output means, each

crosspoint element is associated with its own control ring, the control mechanism is efficient in enabling packet transmission, further each crosspoint element is responsive to a token for switching information from its associated input means to its associated output means).

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pauwels (U.S. 5,268,896) is relevant because it teaches a token ring wherein priority indicating token signal is successively passed.

Conclusion

- 26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADAM COONEY whose telephone number is (571)270-5653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 730AM-5PM.
- 27. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William C. Vaughn can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 28. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444 3/19/2009

> /William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444